



141

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-5481-2025**Date of Decision: 26.05.2025****JASHANPREET KAUR AND ANOTHER****....Petitioner(s)****VERSUS****STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS****....Respondent(s)****CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUKHVINDER KAUR**

Present: Mr. Jasjeet S. Virk, Advocate for
Mr. Nirmaljeet Singh Sidhu, Advocate
for the petitioners.

SUKHVINDER KAUR, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the instant petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of directions to respondents No.2 & 3 to provide protection of life and liberty to the petitioners, who have married against the wishes of private respondents No.4 to 8.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that both the petitioners are major, petitioner No.1 – Jashanpreet Kaur, aged 22 years and petitioner No.2 – Pardeep Singh, aged 27 years and have got married on 22.05.2025, against the wishes of their family members, arrayed as respondents No.4 to 8. The copies of Aadhaar card of petitioners (Annexures P-1 and P-2) have been placed on record. Copy of marriage certificate has been placed on record as Annexure P-3 and marriage photographs have also been produced in the Court today, which are taken on record.

**CRWP-5481-2025**

2

3. It has been further submitted that the private respondents are threatening to interfere in the marital life of the petitioners. Hence, they are seeking protection in that regard and have approached this Court by way of filing the instant petition.

4. Notice of motion.

5. On the asking of the Court Ms. Gagandeep Kaur, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents No.1 to 3-State .

6. In view of the limited prayer made by the petitioners, the petition is disposed off with a direction to respondent No.2 – Superintendent of Police, District Bathinda, Punjab, to look into the representation dated 22.05.2025 (Annexure P-4) at the earliest and take necessary steps, if any required, in accordance with law, to ensure that the life and liberty of the petitioners is not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents. However, this direction will not validate the marriage said to have taken place between the parties and will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

May 26, 2025
Sangeeta

(SUKHVINDER KAUR)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No