



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-5498-2025
DECIDED ON: 27.05.2025

BALJIT KAUR AND ANOTHER

.....PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. Vipin Kumar Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioners

Mr. Jastej Singh, Addl. AG Punjab

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

The jurisdiction of this Court has been invoked under Articles 226 of the Constitution of India for directing the official respondents no. 2 & 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners from the hands of respondent nos.4 to 5.

Learned State counsel submits that a speaking order dated nil has been passed on the representation submitted by the petitioners. A copy of the same has been supplied to the counsel for the petitioners. The relevant part of the order read as under:-

“During enquiry ASI Balwinder Singh No. 1576/Jal visited the house of petitioners (H. No. 334, Street No.11/3, Tagore Nagar, Basti Shiekh Jalandhar) but both the petitioner are not found present at the given address where mother of petitioner no.2 Manjit Singh found present who sufred statement regarding petitioner that she didn't knew about the marriage of her son Manjit Singh and he didn't came home about one week. That the answering respondent try to contact the Petitioner Baljit Kaur on her contact number mentioned in the petition but it

was found switched off. That the answering respondent also contacted to petitioner No. 2 Manjit Singh 's Contact number mentioned in the petition but the same is found in the hands of Mandeep Kanojia @ Money S/o Sh Ratan Lal R/o H.No. 389, Street No. 11, Tagore Nagar, Jalandhar, who is working the petitioners music instrument shop at railway road, Jalandhar who also didn't knew about the whereabouts of petitioners.

That the answering respondent also recorded the statements of respondent No. 4 & 5 Mukhwinder Singh S/O thura Ram and Charanjit Kaur W/o Mukhwinder Singh both resident of VPO Tallan, Teh and District Jalandhar. Who suffred in their statements that they have not any objection with marriage of the petitioners Baljit Kaur and Manjit Singh also they will not interfere into the peaceful life and liberty of the petitioners.

Keeping in the view in the above mentioned facts there is no further action required on the annexure P-5 and P-6 and this complaint (representation dated 21.05.2025). Copy of this speaking order will provide free of cost to the petitioner/Complainant when they available in case of dis-satisfaction with this speaking order. ”

Faced with the situation, learned counsel for the petitioners prays for withdrawal of the present petition.

Prayer is accepted.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

However, the petitioners would be at liberty to avail alternate remedy by way of appeal as per notification No.HOME-HM-40 40SECM/14/2025-2H4/63 dated 23.01.2025 issued by the Department of Home Affairs, Punjab, Government of Punjab (Home-4 Branch).

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

27.05.2025

Meenu

Whether speaking/reasoned *Yes/No*

Whether reportable *Yes/No*