

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARHSr. No.104CRWP-5532-2025Date of decision : 28.05.2025

Bimal Kumar

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE LAPITA BANERJIPresent : Mr.R.S.Waraich, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr.Shekhar Verma, Addl.A.G., Punjab.

* * * * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

(1) At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he restricts his prayer to the issuance of directions to respondent No.2-Deputy Commissioner, Bathinda to finally dispose of the recommendations made by the Jail Authorities, Central Jail, Bathinda, to respondent No.2 for grant of parole to the petitioner, as the same has been pending decision since 19.03.2025.

(2) Learned State counsel has no objection to the acceptance of the afore alternate prayer made on behalf of the petitioner.

(3) After hearing learned counsel for the parties and going through the record, we find that the decision on the petitioner's request for grant of parole has been unnecessarily delayed by the State which is also against the spirit behind Section 3 of the Punjab Good Conduct Prisoners (Temporary Release) Act, 1962.

(4) In the light of the above, we dispose of the instant petition with a direction to respondent No.2 to take a final decision on the petitioner's request which is pending decision before respondent No.2 since 19.03.2025 for the grant of parole, within two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, in accordance with law.

[DEEPAK SIBAL]
JUDGE

28.05.2025

[LAPITA BANERJI]
JUDGE

shamsher

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No