



CWP-17296-2022

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(227)

CWP-17296-2022
Date of Decision : 24.01.2025

Ranjit Singh ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Vineet Sachdeva, Advocate for
Mr. Rahul Arora, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Teevar Sharma, AAG, Punjab.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(ORAL)

1. Through the instant writ petition, a prayer is made for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus, directing the respondents No.2 to 4, to take action against the respondent No.7, and private respondents No.8 to 10, who have violated the order dated 22.03.2019 (Annexure P-3), passed by the respondent No.4, as they were running the disputed property, despite notification of proceedings initiated under Section 145 of Cr.P.C.
2. Learned counsel for the State, informs this Court that order dated 22.03.2019 (Annexure P-3), was in fact, challenged by the private respondent No.9-Harpreet Singh, by filing the statutory revision, which was allowed, vide order dated 07.02.2024.
3. In view of the above, the prayer made in the instant writ petition has become infructuous, as no cause of action survives. Consequently, the instant writ petition is **dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.**

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

January 24, 2025
Manpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No