



CWP-17605-2020 (O&M)

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(119)

**CWP-17605-2020 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 15.12.2025**

Hardial Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. R.S. Dhaliwal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Sahil R. Bakshi, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Piyush Khanna, Advocate
Mr. Rohit Verma, Advocate
for respondents No.2 to 5.

Mr. Kiran Kumar, Advocate
for respondents No.11 and 12.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(ORAL)

1. Reply dated 15.12.2025, by way of affidavit of Mr. Hemang Dimngel, Deputy Chief Labour Commissioner (Central), Chandigarh, filed today in the Court. The same is taken on record. Copy whereof, has been supplied to learned counsel for the opposite party.

2. Through the instant writ petition, cast under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, a prayer is made for initiation of enquiry and prosecution for cancellation of labour contract license of private respondents, for the criminal conspiracy and continuous embezzlement of salary of the Safai Workers, by opening their bank accounts in a private Bank, keeping in custody the workers cheque books, ATM cards and withdrawing the amount



from their accounts, and paying them the less amount than the disbursal amount, to which they are entitled.

3. The only grievance, which propelled the petitioners, to file the instant writ petition is lackadaisical and indolent approach of the respondent No.3, in not taking the complaint, as moved by the petitioners, to its logical end.

4. The instant writ petition is pending adjudication since the year 2020. Though, learned counsel for the respondent No.3, has raised the issue regarding their jurisdiction, to deal with the allegations/complaint. However, he fairly concedes that the said complaint needs to be taken to its logical end, by passing a speaking order.

5. In view of the statement suffered by learned counsel for the respondent No.3, the instant writ petition, at this stage, is **disposed of**, with a *mandamus*, upon the respondent No.3, to decide the complaint (supra), within a period of four weeks, from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

6. It goes without saying that in case, if the petitioners wish to join the proceedings, they can move an apt application seeking personal hearing. In case, such an application is filed, the petitioners be afforded an opportunity of personal hearing, before deciding the complaint, in question.

7. With the aforesaid directions, the instant writ petition is **disposed of**.

8. Pending applications, if any, stands disposed of accordingly.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

December 15, 2025

Manpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned

: Yes/No

Whether reportable

: Yes/No