



109

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP-5516-2025 (O&M)
Date of decision: 27.05.2025**

Sarup Singh and another

... Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Ankush Duhan, Advocate for
Mr. Naveen Sheokand, Advocate
for the petitioners.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)

1. Present criminal writ petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondents No.2 to 6 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners from the hands of respondent No.7 and further to direct respondents No.3 to 5 to consider and decide the representations dated 20.09.2024 (Annexure P-1) and 14.02.2025 (Annexure P-2) submitted by the petitioners.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners, *inter alia*, contends that marriage of respondent No.7, namely Rajwinder Kaur, was solemnized with



son of the petitioners, namely Inderjit Singh, about 15 years ago according to Sikh rites and rituals and after the marriage, he went abroad. Thereafter, respondent No.7 started maltreating the petitioners, as she wants to usurp their property and house. When the petitioners tried to make understand respondent No.7 not to do such things, she threatened them and used filthy language and also thrown them out of their own house. Since then, the petitioners are residing with their daughter at her in-laws house in District Jalandhar. Even on the request of her husband, respondent No.7 did not allow the petitioners to enter their house. Thereafter, due to misbehaviour by respondent No.7 with her husband and the petitioners, son of the petitioners filed a petition under Section 13-A of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 seeking divorce before learned Family Court, Hoshiarpur, which is pending adjudication. As a counter-blast to same, respondent No.7 moved a complaint against her husband (son of the petitioners) before the jurisdictional Court at Hoshiarpur for registration of an FIR and the same is pending consideration. It is pertinent to mention here that the petitioners ousted their son and his wife-respondent No.7 from their property and in this regard, they got published an eviction notice in the local newspaper. Further, on 06.01.2024, in the absence of the petitioners, respondent No.7 broken the cameras installed in their house and when they had a talk with her, she tried to assault them and threatened to harm. Furthermore, respondent No.7 threatened the petitioners, if they do not transfer their house in



her name, she would kill herself and harm the child and would falsely implicate them in some false case. Thereafter, the petitioners approached respondent No.3 – Deputy Commissioner, Hoshiarpur, by submitting a representation dated 20.09.2024 (Annexure P-1), but no action has been taken thereon till date. After waiting for about 05 months, when no action was taken on the representation (Annexure P-1), the petitioners submitted another representation dated 14.02.2025 (Annexure P-2) before respondent No.4, however, the same has not been decided so far.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that the petitioners would be satisfied, in case a direction is issued to respondent No.5 to consider and decide the representation dated 20.09.2024 (Annexure P-1) submitted by them in a time bound manner.

4. Notice of motion to the official respondents only.

5. On asking of the Court, Mr. Subhash Godara, Addl. A.G., Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 6-State. Learned counsel for the petitioners is directed to supply copy of complete paperbook to learned State counsel during course of the day.

6. Keeping in view the prayer made by the petitioners and without commenting anything on the merits of the case, present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.5-Senior Superintendent of Police, Hoshiarpur to consider and decide the representation dated 20.09.2024

CRWP-5516-2025

-4-

2025:PHHC:072245



(Annexure P-1) submitted by the petitioners within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order, in accordance with law.

27.05.2025
vishnu

[HARPREET SINGH BRAR]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No