



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

136

CWP-15276-2025

Date of decision :30.07.2025

ANKUSH GOYAL AND ANR.

.. Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS.

..Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK MANCHANDA**

Present: Mr. Surinder Garg, Advocate for the petitioners.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner had been asked to pay an additional amount on account of Preferred Location Charges (PLC) for allotment of the plot. He had made the deposit under protest vide demand draft dated 08.11.2024. He submits that a similar petition bearing CWP-9717-2019 titled *Daulat Ram Bhatti Vs. State of Punjab and others*, and other connected matters has been allowed on 20.02.2025 whereby the demand raised of additional PLC was quashed and further directions were issued to GMADA for the refund of the amount paid towards PLC by the petitioners therein. He further submits that he has sent a legal notice/representation dated 16.04.2025 (Annexure P-12) and prays that direction be issued to the respondents to consider and decide the same.

2. Issue notice to the respondents.

**CWP-15276-2025****-2-**

3. Ms. Arundhati Kulshreshtha, AAG Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondent No.1. Ms. Karina Kalra, Advocate for Ms. Avin Sandhu, Advocate accepts notice on behalf of the respondents No.2 and 3.

4. The petition is disposed of with the direction to respondent No.3 to consider and decide the legal notice/representation (Annexure P-12) of the petitioner within a period of three months, in accordance with law and keeping in view the judgment in the case of *Daulat Ram Bhatti Vs. State of Punjab and others* (supra).

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

(DEEPAK MANCHANDA)
JUDGE

30.07.2025*Swarnjits*

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No