



215 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-21282-2014

Date of decision: 01.08.2024

HARI SINGH

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

**PEPSU ROAD TRANSPORT
CORPORATION AND ANR.**

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Abhishek Arora, Advocate for
Mr. Sumit Jain, Advocate for respondent No.1.

Mr. Anupam Singla, Advocate for respondent No.2.

NAMIT KUMAR ,J. (ORAL)

1. The instant petition has been filed by the petitioner under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus, directing the respondents to regularize the service of the petitioner as driver from the date his junior/co-employees have been regularized.

2. On 11.08.2016, the following order was passed:-

"Learned counsel for the respondents submits that the petitioner earlier approached the Labour Court but the reference was declined and this fact has not been mentioned in the present writ petition. Even claim of regularization was also declined.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is directed to place on record the application moved before the Labour Court as well as the order passed therein.

Adjourned to 23.01.2017."

3. Even after lapse of about 07 years, the said order has not been complied with.

4. In this view of the matter, the present petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

01.08.2024

renubala

**(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No