



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

208

CRM-M-29025-2025
Date of decision: 04.11.2025

RAVI @ RAVINDER AND ANOTHER

....Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR

Present : Mr. Baljeet Beniwal, Advocate and
Mr. Sudhanshu Kaushik, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Vasundhara Dalal Anand, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Ram Bilas Gupta, Advocate for the complainant.

YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR. J.(Oral)

1. Prayer in this petition under Section 482 of Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 is for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.332, dated 17.09.2024, registered at Police Station Central Faridabad, District Faridabad, under Sections 120B and 420 of IPC.

2. On 12.06.2025, following order was passed:-

“This is an application filed under Section 528 of the Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for modification/correction of order dated 28.05.2025 passed by this Court.

Notice of the application.

On the asking of the Court, Mr.Vishal Kashyap, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the State of Haryana and Mr.R.B.Gupta, Advocate accepts notice on behalf of the complainant.

For the reasons mentioned in the application, the same is allowed. Interim order dated 28.05.2025 is modified and be now read as follows:

“1. This is a petition for anticipatory bail filed under Section 482 of the Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in case FIR No.332 dated 17.09.2024 under Sections 120-B and 420 of



Indian Penal Code, 1860 registered at Police Station Central Faridabad, District Faridabad.

2. *Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the father of the petitioners has entered into an agreement to sell with the complainant and has accepted Rs. 25 lakhs as earnest money for sale of land measuring 8 kanals out of 16 kanals 15 marls. But the said agreement to sell dated 05.12.2022 was cancelled as their father was not interested to sell the said land. The earnest money paid by the complainant was to be adjusted in another agreement to sell dated 06.04.2023. He further submits that the father of the petitioners had accepted a total amount of Rs.1.52 crores as full and final payment to execute the sale deed of the land, however, it could not be executed. Therefore, the petitioners have nothing to do with the alleged commission of offence or the property in question and being the sons, they cannot be held liable for the act of their father. Moreover, the dispute is of civil nature and suit for specific performance is pending between the parties before the trial Court.*

3. *Notice of motion.*

4. *On the asking of the Court Ms.Ankita Ahuja, AAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the State.*

5. *Adjourned to 19.08.2025.*

6. *In the meantime, the petitioners are directed to appear before the SHO/Investigating Officer to join investigation as and when required and in the event of their arrest, they shall be released on interim bail on their furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of SHO/Investigating Agency, subject to the following conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of the BNSS [erstwhile Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.]:-*

i) that the petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;

ii) that the petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;

iii) that the petitioners shall not leave India without the prior permission of the Court;

iv) such other condition as may be imposed under sub-section (3) of Section 480, as if the bail were granted under that section.”

3. Today learned State counsel has informed this Court that in



compliance to the order dated 28.05.2025 passed in CRM-M-29025-2025 (as modified vide subsequent order dated 12.06.2025, passed in CRM-24064-2025, petitioners have joined the investigation and are no longer required for further investigation.

4. In view of the aforesaid, the order dated 28.05.2025/12.06.2025, whereby the petitioners were granted interim anticipatory bail, is hereby made absolute. However, they shall continue to join investigation, if and so required by the Investigating Officer.

5. Disposed of.

6. Pending misc application (s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

04.11.2025
amandeep

(YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No
Whether Reportable. : Yes/No