



CWP-15213-2025

-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

285

CWP-15213-2025

Date of Decision :16.07.2025

Gagandeep Kaur and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Yagyadeep, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Akshita Chauhan, DAG, Punjab.

Ms. Gurmit Kaur Gill, Senior Panel counsel
for respondent-UOI.

Mr. B.S. Walia, Advocate for respondent No.5.

* * *

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the issue raised in the present petition qua the holding of the degrees of the candidates, is covered by the decision of this Court in **CWP-13761-2024 titled as Akashdeep and others vs. State of Punjab and others decided on 09.01.2025** along with other connected cases wherein, a direction has been given to release the degrees of the candidates with liberty to avail appropriate remedy in case, admissible and prays that the present petition be also disposed of in terms of the said order.

2. Though, learned counsel for the respondents have not been able to dispute the said fact but learned counsel for the respondent-UOI submits



CWP-15213-2025

-2-

that appeals have been filed by the respondents being LPA No.1648-2025 and 1650-2025 against the judgment passed in **Akashdeep's case (supra)** wherein, notice as well as notice regarding stay has already been issued but concedes the fact that the judgment passed in **Akashdeep's case (supra)** has not been stayed.

3. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record with their able assistance.

4. Once, the issue raised in the present petition has already been decided by this Court while passing order in **Akashdeep's case (supra)** merely that the respondents have filed the appeals against the said order passed in **Akashdeep's case (supra)**, does not mean that the claim of the petitioners, which is akin to one which was raised in **Akashdeep's case (supra)**, should not be disposed of in the same terms so as to avoid discrimination especially, when the operation of the judgment passed in **Akashdeep's case (supra)**, has not been stayed.

5. Keeping in view the above, present petition is disposed of in terms of the order passed by this Court in **Akashdeep's case (supra)**.

6. It is made clear that in the present case, the time period of 08 weeks as granted by this Court in the case of **Akashdeep's case (supra)**, will start from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

July 16, 2025
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No