

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

295

CRM-M-29407-2025
Date of decision: 26.05.2025

SUKHWINDER KAUR

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present : Mr. Amardeep Singh Mann, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manjinder Singh Bhullar, DAG, Punjab.

SANJAY VASHISTH. J.(Oral)

1. The instant petition has been filed under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 439 Cr.P.C.), for grant of regular bail to the petitioner, during the pendency of trial, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed hereunder:-

Name of Petitioner(s)	FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station	District
Sukhwinder Kaur	0024	06.04.2025	108 BNS, 2023	Joga	Mansa

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is the wife of the deceased-Manpreet Singh, who committed suicide. In fact, there were temperamental differences, and on that account, the petitioner and the deceased had been residing separately for approximately a period of one year and six



- 2-

months. Therefore, there was no occasion for the petitioner to have abetted the commission of suicide of her husband, Manpreet Singh.

3. He further submits that the co-accused, Paramjit Kaur and Kesar Singh, have already been released on bail by the Court of Sessions, and except for the relationship between the deceased and the petitioner, nothing distinguishes their roles.

4. Petitioner is inside the jail since 06.04.2025 and any further incarceration of the petitioner is not likely to serve any purpose for the prosecution.

5. It is also pointed out by counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner has to look after her child, who is about one and a half years old and is constantly in need of maternal care. Therefore, he prays for the grant of regular bail.

6. Learned State counsel, produces the custody certificate dated 25.05.2025 in Court today, which is taken on record. Office to tag the same at appropriate place. A copy thereof has been handed over to the counsel for the petitioner.

As per the custody certificate, in the present case, petitioner has already undergone 01 months and 18 days period inside jail.

7. Learned State counsel while opposing the prayer and submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner, submits that petitioner being the main accused is not entitled for regular bail. He further submits that because of petitioner, deceased-Manpreet Singh (petitioner's husband) has committed suicide and no leniency shall be given to her in the present case.

8. As per the totality of circumstances, and the facts/allegations levelled against the petitioner, and the factors noticed hereinabove, I deem it appropriate to grant the concession of bail to the petitioner.

Consequently, prayer made in the present petition is **allowed**. Petitioner is ordered to be released on bail, subject to her furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/ Chief Judicial Magistrate/ Illaqa Magistrate/ Duty Magistrate concerned, if not required in any other case.

9. Needless to observe that the petitioner shall not extend any threat and shall not influence any prosecution witness in any manner directly or indirectly.



10. Any of the discussion done and recorded hereabove, shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the facts of the case. Therefore, trial Court is expected to decide the case by taking an independent view, on the basis of evidence available on record, as expeditiously as possible, in accordance with law.
11. It is further made clear that if, in future, petitioner is directly found indulged in similar kind of activities, this order shall be deemed to be cancelled.
12. Petition stands disposed of.

26.05.2025

amandeep

(SANJAY VASHISTH)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned.

Whether Reportable.

:

:

Yes/No

Yes/No