



**110 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-5525-2025

Date of Decision: 27.05.2025

Karamjeet Kaur and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr. Harjot Goyal, Advocate for
Mr. Harpal Singh Sidhu, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Rajesh Bhardwaj, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioners have filed the present petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of direction to respondents No.1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondent No.4 and not to harass or interfere in the peaceful life of the petitioners at the instance of private respondent.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that both the petitioners are major and are living in live-in relationship against the wishes of private respondent. It is submitted that petitioner No.1 is married with respondent No.4 and has a girl child. However, as she was being harassed by her husband, she left his company and started to live with petitioner No.2. He submits that petitioner No.2 was also married, however, Panchayati divorce has already been taken place between petitioner No.2 and his wife. It is further submitted that the petitioners apprehend danger from respondent No.4. The petitioners have submitted a representation dated 18.05.2025 (Annexure P-3) to respondent no.2 for granting protection to their life and liberty.

3. Notice of motion to the official respondents only.

4. Mr. Tarun Aggarwal, Addl. A.G., Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the State.
5. Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, I dispose of the petition with a direction to respondent no.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, Sri Muktsar Sahib, to decide the representation (Annexure P-3) of the petitioners and grant them protection, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived.
6. It is clarified that this order shall not be taken to grant immunity to the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them. This order would not be understood having expressed any opinion whatsoever by this Court on the validity of their live-in relationship.

27.05.2025

sharmila

Whether Speaking/Reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)

JUDGE