

2025:PHHC:116097



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

284

CRWP-5526-2025 (O & M)
Date of decision: 28.08.2025

Monish Salhotra

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and Others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Arpan Sabharwal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manipal Singh Atwal, DAG, Punjab.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. Learned State counsel refers to paras 3 to 5 of short reply filed by way of affidavit of the Deputy Superintendent of Police, Vigilance Bureau, Unit Jalandhar, on behalf of respondents No.1, 3 and 4, which read thus:

“3. That one letter No.5200-VB/S.S-7 dated 07.02.2025 from the office of Chief Director, Vigilance Bureau, Punjab was sent to the S.S.P.Vigilance Bureau Jalandhar regarding enquiry into the complaint of Monish Sharma. Then the S.S.P.Vigilance Bureau Range Jalandhar marked the said letter for enquiry to the Inspector Vigilance Bureau Unit Jalandhar. Then the Inspector Vigilance Bureau Unit Jalandhar had thoroughly enquired the matter and recorded the statement of Major Singh and even called Major Singh in Vigilance Bureau office and she found that no evidence comes regarding any threat given by Major Singh to the petitioner Monish Salhotra. Even during the course of enquiry into the complaint given by the petitioner, the investigation officer further found that the petitioner had given complaints having UID N.438928 dated

19.09.2024 and No.451468 in the office of Commissionerate, Jalandhar which was enquired by the enquiry officer of Commissionerate, Jalandhar and the same has been filed.

4. That during the course of investigation into the complaint given by the complainant, the enquiry officer found that no evidence has come regarding threat by Major Singh to the petitioner. However, in case of any threat in future then the matter should be presented before Commissionerate, Jalandhar for appropriate action.

5. That averments in the petition regarding threat is totally uncalled for as during the course of our enquiry by Investigation Officer, no threat was found to him, as such the petition filed by the petitioner is liable to be dismissed.”

2. In view of the above, no further orders are required to be passed and, as such, the present petition stands disposed of.

28.08.2025

parveen kumar

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No