

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

214

CWP-19191-2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 16.01.2025

Ashok Kumar and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others


...Respondents

Sr. No.	Case No.	Petitioner(s)	Respondent(s)
2.	CWP-8034-2017 (O&M)	Jagdish Parshad and others	State of Haryana and others
3.	CWP-8101-2017 (O&M)	Satbir Singh and others	State of Haryana and others
4.	CWP-5130-2017 (O&M)	Bishan Singh and others	State of Haryana and others
5.	CWP-18123-2017 (O&M)	Ved Parkash and others	State of Haryana and others
6.	CWP-9645-2017 (O&M)	Jagmohan and others	State of Haryana and others
7.	CWP-8749-2017 (O&M)	Pardeep	State of Haryana and others
8.	CWP-8039-2017 (O&M)	Rajinder Kumar and others	State of Haryana and others
9.	CWP-14686-2017 (O&M)	Satish Kumar and others	State of Haryana and others
10.	CWP-19220-2017 (O&M)	Lakhan Kumar Arya and others	State of Haryana and others
11.	CWP-23105-2017 (O&M)	Parkash Chand	State of Haryana and others
12.	CWP-6662-2017 (O&M)	Banti and others	State of Haryana and others

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: - Ms. Ekta Thakur, Advocate for the petitioner(s)

Mr. Pravindra Singh Chauhan, Advocate General, Haryana,
Mr. B.R. Mahajan, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Parteek Mahajan, Advocate for the respondents



JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. As common issues are involved in the captioned petitions, with the consent of both sides, the same are hereby disposed of by this common order. For the sake of brevity and convenience, facts are borrowed from *CWP-19191-2016*.

2. The petitioners through instant petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India are seeking direction to respondents to regularize them in the light of policy dated 18.06.2014 (Annexure P-1).

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners are working with respondent since 2010. As per Haryana Contractual Employees (Security of Service) Act, 2024 which came into force w.e.f. 06.12.2024, an employee is entitled to be retained, if he/she has completed five years of service.

4. Mr. Pravindra Singh Chauhan, Advocate General, Haryana, Mr. B.R. Mahajan, Senior Advocate assisted by Mr. Parteek Mahajan, Advocate submit that claim of the petitioners would be considered in the light of afore-stated legislation.

5. Learned counsels for both sides are *ad idem* that validity of 2014 policy was challenged before this Court and now the matter is pending before the Supreme Court.

6. In the wake of statement of both sides, the present petitions stand disposed of. Let the needful be done within 8 weeks from today.



7. As on date, the 2014 policy is not in force. It is hereby clarified that respondent would reconsider claim of the petitioners in the light of Supreme Court judgment on 2014 policy.

8. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

16.01.2025
Mohit Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No