

2025:PHHC:108301



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

206+203

Date of decision: 19.08.2025

CWP-15609-2017

Dilpreet Singh & others vs. Punjab School Education Board and another

CWP-1637-2018

Jasvir Singh & others vs. Punjab School Education Board and another

CWP-9066-2009

Satinder Kaur & others vs. Punjab School Education Board and others

CWP-13728-2011

Suresh Kumar & others vs. Punjab School Education Board and others

CWP-11705-2014

Joginder Singh & another vs. Punjab School Education Board and another

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. K.L.Arora, Advocate and
Mr. Naveen Kumar, Advocate for the petitioners
in CWP-15609-2017 and CWP-1637-2018.

Mr. Kapil Kakkar, Advocate,
for the petitioners in CWP-9066-2009,
and CWP-13728-2011.

None for the petitioners in CWP-11705-2014.

Mr. S.S.Behl, Advocate and
Mr. Ranjit Singh Kalra, Advocate,
for the respondent-PSEB.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel submit that the petitioners were engaged as Daily Wage Helpers since 1998 and 1999, however, are uninterruptedly being made to work as Clerks, but have not been regularized and paid minimum pay scales. Their claims are covered by the judgments in **Shri Pal and another vs. Nagar Nigam, Gaziabad**, Civil Appeal No.8157-

2024, decided on 31.01.2025, **Jaggo vs. Union of India and others**, Civil Appeal No.14831-2024, decided on 20.12.2024 and **Davinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and others**, CWP-20103-2018, decided on 30.08.2024. The respondent-Board be directed to consider the same in view of the above and till then, the interim orders passed in their respective cases may be ordered to continue.

2. The aforesaid facts having been brought out, during the course of hearing, learned counsel for the respondent-PSEB, on instructions, states that the authorities would not be averse to having a relook at the matter and decide afresh, taking note of the afore-referred judgments, within a period of 6 months, uninfluenced by the order(s) impugned in the present case, which this Court has no reason to believe the authorities would not address in a just, fair and reasonable manner.

3. The aforesaid satisfies the learned counsel for the petitioners.

4. The matter stands disposed of accordingly and if the petitioners are found entitled, grant the benefit forthwith. Needless to say, if the orders are adverse to their interest, the same may be passed after granting opportunity of hearing to them and shall contain reasons, whereupon they shall be free to seek legal redress thereupon.

5. Interim orders passed in respective cases will continue, till the decision is taken.

6. Photocopy of this order be placed on the connected files.

19.08.2025

parveen kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE