



-1-

125

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR-3360-2025

Date of decision:-29.05.2025

Punjab National Bank

...Petitioner

Versus

Smt. Suman and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present : Mr. Gaurav Goel, Advocate
for the petitioner.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J.(ORAL)

1. Instant revision petition has been filed for directing learned Additional Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Panipat to decide an application dated 31.01.2025, Annexure P9, preferred by petitioner/defendant No.3 under Order 7 Rule 11 CPC for rejection of the plaint.

2. Mr. Goel, counsel for the petitioner submits that the civil suit filed by respondent No.1/plaintiff is barred under Section 34 of the Sarfaesi Act, 2002. He submits that upon being served, bank - defendant No.3 has moved an application for rejection of the plaint, which is being adjourned as the service upon some of the defendants is incomplete and applications have been filed by some other defendants for setting aside ex-parte proceedings. He has made a reference to order dated 26.05.2025 passed by a Coordinate Bench of this Court in CR-3197-



-2-

125

2025 whereby in similar circumstances, Trial Court has been directed to decide the application within a period of two months.

3. Given the nature of order proposed to be passed, this Court does not deem it necessary to call upon the respondents.

4. Having heard counsel for the petitioner, this Court is of the view that as an application under Order 7 Rule 11 CPC can only be contested by the plaintiff, it would be appropriate that the Trial Court expedites the hearing of the application and decides it as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of three months from the communication of copy of this order.

5. Ordered accordingly.

6. Petition is disposed off.

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

29.05.2025

Brij

Whether reasoned/speaking : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No