2025:PHHC:017290

224 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-6432-2024
Date of Decision: **05.02.2025**

Harinderjit Singh ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Rishabh Singla, AAG, Punjab.

***

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)

1. A request for attending the matter through video conferencing was made by learned counsel for the petitioner, however, no one has joined the same.

2. Instant petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India in the nature of mandamus seeking direction to respondent Nos.2 and 3 to protect life and liberty of the petitioner at the hands of respondent No.4.

3. Despite the case having been called twice, there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner. It appears that neither the petitioner nor his counsel is interested in pursuing the present petition.

4. Under these circumstances, having left with no other option, the present petition stands dismissed for want of prosecution.

5. Pending CRM(s), if any, are also disposed of accordingly.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

05.02.2025
Parveen kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned :Yes/No
Whether reportable :Yes/No

Parveen Kumar
2025.02.06 16:44
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document