



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

285

CRM-M-33478-2024

Date of Decision : November 03, 2025

NITESH SHARMA AND OTHERS

.....Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present : Mr. S.K.Chaudhary, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. M.S.Toor, AAG, Punjab.
Mr. G.S.Bhinder, Advocate for respondents No.2 and 3.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (Oral)

1. The prayer in this petition is for quashing of an FIR No.195 dated 20.10.2022 (Annexure P-1) registered under Sections 324, 323, 148, 149 IPC at Police Station Division No.II, District Pathankot and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of a compromise arrived at between the parties.
2. In compliance with the order dated 07.04.2025, the petitioners have deposited the costs of Rs.25,000/- with the Poor Patients Welfare Fund, PGI, Chandigarh and receipt dated 15.04.2025 submitted by the petitioners in this regard is taken on record.
3. Vide order dated 16.07.2024 this Court had directed the parties to appear before Illaqa Magistrate for getting their statements recorded with regard to the compromise dated 12.12.2022 (P-2).
4. The Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court was to submit a report in this regard giving certain details as enumerated in the said order.
5. Pursuant to the order dated 16.07.2024 passed by this Court, the parties have appeared before the learned Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class,

Pathankot and as per the report dated 16.09.2024 submitted to this Court, both the parties have got recorded their respective statements in Court.

6. A perusal of the aforesaid report would show that the parties have effected a genuine compromise without there being any pressure, coercion or undue influence. In view of the compromise there is a remote possibility of the complainant coming forward to support the prosecution case. The powers under Section 482 Cr.PC can be exercised in such like situation in order to prevent unnecessary vagaries of criminal trial to be faced by the parties, when there are remote chances of conviction of the accused. The compromise in question is found to be fully in consonance with the direction issued by the Court in “*Kulwinder Singh & Ors. Vs. State of Punjab 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052 and Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Anr., 2012(4) RCR (Crl.) 543*”.

7. In view of the aforesaid report of the learned Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Batala accompanied by statements of both the parties, the FIR No.195 dated 20.10.2022 (Annexure P-1) registered under Sections 324, 323, 148, 149 IPC at Police Station Division No.II, District Pathankot and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed qua the petitioner.

8. Petition stands disposed of.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

November 03, 2025
ajaysharma

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No
Whether Reportable. : Yes/No