

**CWP-15069-2025**

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-15069-2025**Date of decision: 08.07.2025**

Kuldeep Kumar Sarthi

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Deepak Arora, Advocate, along with
Mr. Kuldeep Kumar Sarthi, petitioner in person. .

Mr. Sahil R. Bakshi, AAG, Punjab.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (Oral)

1. Through the instant writ petition, as cast under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, prayer is made for issuance of a Mandamus upon the official respondents to take apt action against respondent No.7, as he is unnecessarily harassing the petitioner, by filing false and frivolous complaints against him.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner, who is an Advocate, had represented respondent No.7, by instituting CWP-29377-2024, which was disposed of, as having been not pressed, by this Court, vide order dated 29.10.2024 (Annexure P-2). However, fetching grievance therefrom, respondent No.7 started leveling baseless allegations against the petitioner, and the WhatsApp chat, in this regard, is annexed as Annexure P-3. He further submits that respondent No.7 has also filed a complaint against the petitioner with the various authorities (Annexure P-4). In this background, the petitioner was left with no other option, but to file the instant writ petition.

**CWP-15069-2025**

-2-

3. At the outset, this Court has put a specific query to the learned counsel for the petitioner, as to whether, the whatsapp chat (Annexure P-3), makes out a case of commission of any criminal offence by respondent No.7, requiring the authorities concerned to take cognizance of the matter, to which, he is unable to satisfy this Court. Further, upon being pointedly asked, as to what action can be taken against respondent No.7, only on account of filing the complaint (supra), which has neither been dealt with, nor has been found to be false till date, yet again he has no satisfactory answer to the said query.

4. In view of the aforesaid, the asked for Mandamus is not required to be issued, at this stage, upon the official respondents.

5. Accordingly, the instant writ petition is dismissed.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

08.07.2025

Ak Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No