



208

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-18783-2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 12.03.2025

JAI PAL

..... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA & ORS

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : Mr. H.S. Kasan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Palika Monga, DAG, Haryana.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking setting aside of orders dated 18.11.2011 (Annexure P-9), 11.05.2012 (Annexure P-10) 16.03.2015 (Annexure P-11) whereby his five increments with permanent effect were forfeited.

2. The petitioner claims that he was subjected to punishment alongwith other officials. Two colleagues preferred civil suits which were allowed vide judgments dated 29.10.2018 and 03.03.2023 passed by Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.), Kurukshetra.

3. Ms. Palika Monga, DAG, Haryana, on being confronted with afore-cited judgments dated 29.10.2018 and 03.03.2023, expressed her inability to controvert their applicability to instant case.

4. Concededly, petitioner was subjected to punishment alongwith other officials on the same set of allegations. The foundation of



punishment was statement/diary of a lady who was allegedly involved in prostitution. The lady faced prosecution and was acquitted vide judgment dated 30.03.2016 passed by trial Court. As the co-accused had already been exonerated by aforesaid judgments of Civil Court, this Court finds it appropriate to direct the respondent-Superintendent of Police, Kurukshetra to reconsider case of the petitioner without being influenced by earlier orders passed by higher authorities.

- 4. Disposed of.
- 5. Let the needful be done within three months from today.
- 6. Pending misc. application (s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

12.03.2025
Ali

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No