

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

104

CWP-15795-2024

Date of Decision : April 04, 2025

PARVEEN

-PETITIONER

V/S

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS

-RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Satpal Bhasin, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Bhupender Singh, D.A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Edward Augustine George, Advocate
for the respondent No.2.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (ORAL)

1. Feeling dissatisfied with the quantum of compensation, as granted by the learned trial Court to the victims concerned, through drawing the order dated 27.03.2024, the petitioner has filed the instant writ petition for issuance of directions upon the respondent No.2 to grant compensation to his son, on account of him being a sexual abuse victim, under the Haryana Victim Compensation Scheme, 2020, instead of the repealed Scheme of 2013.

2. This Court posed a specific query to the learned counsel for the petitioner, as to whether the petitioner has challenged the order (supra) under the apt provisions of law, to which he answered in negative. Therefore, this Court is of the view that, the instant writ petition is a misconceived motion inasmuch as the petitioner is seized of an efficacious

remedy to file appeal against the order (supra), on account of grant of inadequate compensation.

3. At this stage, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks leave to withdraw the instant writ petition, however, with liberty to take recourse to the appropriate statutory remedy for redressal of petitioner’s grievance.

4. Leave granted.

5. Consequently, the instant writ petition is **dismissed as withdrawn**, however, with liberty (supra).

April 04, 2025
devinder

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No