

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

133

CWP-15502-2025**Date of decision :29.07.2025****Niti Shahi****... Petitioner****Versus****State of Punjab and others****...Respondents****CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK MANCHANDA**

Present: Mr. Sudesh Sahi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner had been asked to pay additional amount on account of Preferred Location Charges (PLC) for the allotment of the plot. He had made the deposit under protest vide demand draft dated 02.09.2024. He submits that a similar petition bearing CWP-9717-2019 titled *Daulat Ram Bhatti Vs. State of Punjab and others*, and other connected matters has been allowed on 20.02.2025 whereby the demand raised of additional PLC was quashed and further directions were issued to GMADA for the refund of the amount paid towards PLC by the petitioners therein. He further submits that he has filed representation dated 02.04.2025 (Annexure P-12) and prays that direction be issued to the respondents to consider and decide the same.

2. Issue notice to the respondents.

3. Ms. Arundhati Kulshreshtha, AAG Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondent No.1. Ms. Karina Kalra, Advocate for Ms. Avin Sandhu, Advocate accepts notice on behalf of the respondents No.2 and 3.



4. The petition is disposed of with the direction to respondent No.3 to consider and decide the representation (Annexure P-12) of the petitioner within a period of three months, in accordance with law and keeping in view the judgment in the case of *Daulat Ram Bhatti Vs. State of Punjab and others* (supra).

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

(DEEPAK MANCHANDA)
JUDGE

29.07.2025
Sapna

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No