



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-18537-2016

Date of decision: 04.02.2025

Ciena Communication Inc.

.... Petition

Vs.

DCIT/ACIT International Taxation Gurgaon and another

.... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUDEEPTI SHARMA**

Present: Mr. Vishal Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Urvashi Dhugga, Senior Standing counsel
for the respondent.

ARUN PALLI, J (Oral)

Learned counsel for the respondent submits that, although a detailed written statement on behalf of the respondents has already been filed, but, since, despite repeated opportunities, the petitioner has failed to comply with the order dated July 24, 2017, the petition deserves to be dismissed.

In response, learned counsel for the petitioner, as always, fairly submits that despite repeated communications and reminders, none on behalf of the petitioner has chosen to pursue the matter. Therefore, the petition be disposed of as not pressed.

Ordered accordingly.

**(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE**

**(SUDEEPTI SHARMA)
JUDGE**

04.02.2025
deepak

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No