



152

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-5342-2025

Date of decision : 22.05.2025

Pawanpreet Kaur and another

.....Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present :- Ms. Pallavi Bahre, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the present petition has been made seeking direction to respondents No.2 & 3 to protect the lives and personal liberty of the petitioners and also directing respondents No.4 to 6 and other relatives not to interfere in the personal lives and liberty of the petitioners and further directing respondents No.2 and 3 not to allow/let respondent No.4 to 6 or anybody else to interfere in the liberty and lives of the petitioners or to harass them in any manner against the wishes of petitioners or to cause any detriment to the petitioners in any manner.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that both the petitioners are major and have married against the wishes of respondents No.4 to 6 and have sought protection to their life and liberty. They apprehend danger from respondents No.4 to 6. Their marriage certificate and photographs evidencing their marriage is on record as Annexures P-4 & P-5. Learned counsel for the petitioners states that petitioners have

**CRWP-5342-2025****-2-**

filed representation dated 20.05.2025 (Annexure P-6) before respondent No.2 i.e. Senior Superintendent of Police, Tarn Taran.

3. Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3.

4. On asking of the Court, Mr. Tarun Aggarwal, Addl.A.G., Punjab, notice on behalf of the respondents-State.

5. Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, I dispose of the petition with a direction to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Tarn Taran, to decide the representation dated 20.05.2025 (Annexure P-6) of the petitioners and grant them protection, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived. It is clarified that this order shall not be taken to grant immunity to the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them. This order would not be understood having expressed any opinion whatsoever by this Court on the validity of the marriage of the petitioners.

6. Disposed of.

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

22.05.2025*ps-I*

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No