



232

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH****CRWP-6303-2024**Date of Decision: **28.01.2025**

Daljit Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH**Present:** None for the petitioner.

Mr. Amandeep Singh Samra, AAG, Punjab.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (ORAL)

1. Instant writ petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking direction to respondent No.2 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner at the hands of respondent Nos.4 to 6.

2. In compliance of the previous order, status report dated 28.08.2024 has already been filed by the State by way of affidavit of Mr. Ravi Sher Singh, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub-Division Khadur Sahib Camp at Goindwal Sahib, District Tarn Taran which is taken on record.

3. Learned State counsel submits that the petition seems to have been filed under the impression that no action has been taken by the police on the complaint moved by the petitioner. Learned State counsel refers to the status report and submits that in fact, the petition is misconceived. On the basis of the complaint moved by the petitioner, facts were verified by the SHO, Police Station Chohla Sahib (respondent No.3) and by registering DDR No.22 dated 15.07.2024 it was concluded that only one minor altercation took place between the petitioner and the private respondents regarding the

money/expenses incurred upon changing of electric wire. Neither the private respondents were armed with the deadly weapons nor they caused any injury to the petitioner, as falsely alleged. It is further submitted that, on the basis of the complaints dated 03.06.2024 and 22.07.2024 moved by respondent No.6 (Lovejeet Kaur) daughter of Amarjit Singh, an FIR No.56 dated 10.08.2024 under Sections 354-A/506 of IPC, 1860 Police Station Chohla Sahib, District Tarn Taran has been registered against the petitioner. Thus, the petitioner can avail remedy available to him in pursuance to the FIR (supra) registered against him by the respondents.

4. Taking note of all these submissions, as has been penned down in the status report also, this Court is of the view that no cause continues to survive with the petitioner and therefore, the present petition is disposed of by granting liberty to the petitioner to avail remedy as available to him in accordance with law before an appropriate forum, if any, cause accrues to him in future.

28.01.2025
Parveen kumar

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :Yes/No
Whether reportable :Yes/No