



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-31605-2024

Date of decision : 19.08.2025

Jaskaran Singh @ Janty

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHEEL NAGU, CHIEF JUSTICE

Present: Mr. Sidhant Vermani, Advocate (through video conferencing),
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vipin Pal Yadav, Addl. Advocate General, Punjab.

SHEEL NAGU, CHIEF JUSTICE (Oral)

1. This is repeat petition filed by the petitioner for grant of regular bail in FIR No. 08 dated 13.01.2022 under Sections 307/34 IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959 (Sections 302 and 201 IPC and Section 27 of the Arms Act added later on) registered at Police Station Sadar Amritsar. The earlier petition was dismissed as withdrawn vide order dated 29.02.2024 (Annexure P-1) passed in CRM-M-16688-2023.

2. Custody certificate of the petitioner, filed in Court today by learned counsel for the State of Punjab, is taken on record.

3. The petitioner is in custody since 08.02.2022 and it is informed by learned counsel for the petitioner that trial has progressed to an extent that out of 38 (thirty eight) prosecution witnesses, 17 (seventeen), including eye witnesses, have been examined.



4. However, learned State counsel, by referring to the custody certificate, submits that there are seven other offences, including one of murder; three of attempt to murder, registered against the petitioner, constituting his criminal antecedents. It is informed by him that in none of these seven offences, the petitioner has been acquitted.
5. Though there is delay in conduction of trial, but prejudice caused to the petitioner on account of delayed trial gets outweighed by gravity of the offence and also his criminal antecedents, which gives an impression that the petitioner, if released on bail, shall influence the remaining prosecution witnesses and, therefore, for the time, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.
6. Accordingly, the petition stands dismissed for the time being, with liberty to the petitioner to re-visit the Court, in case the trial gets further delayed or after the main prosecution witnesses are examined, whichever is earlier.

(SHEEL NAGU)
CHIEF JUSTICE

August 19, 2025
narotam

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No