

2025:PHHC:111738



213

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-28523-2025
Date of decision: August 25, 2025

Sahid
.....Petitioner
versus
State of Haryana
.....Respondent

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: Mr. Sukhdev Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Gurmeet Singh, AAG Haryana.

SUMEET GOEL, J. (ORAL)

1. Present petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short ‘BNSS’) for grant of concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.282 dated 07.08.2024, under Section 331(2) BNS, Sections 305 & 3(5) BNS, 2023 were added later on, registered at Police Station Central Faridabad.
2. On 26.05.2025, the following order was passed:

“The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 482 BNSS with a prayer to grant of anticipatory bail to him in case FIR No.282 dated 07.08.2024, under Sections 331(2) BNS, Section 305 & 3(5) BNS was added later on, registered at Police Station Central Faridabad.

Status report by way of an affidavit of the Assistant Commissioner of Police, Crime, Faridabad has been filed on behalf of the respondent-State and the same is taken on record.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has not been named in the FIR as an accused and was nominated on the basis of disclosure statement suffered by Firoz, co-accused. He next contends that Firoz, Girraj and Abhishek have already been granted the concession of regular bail and recovery was effected from them.

Adjourned to 25.08.2025.

In the meantime, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation. In the event of arrest, he shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer, subject to the conditions provided under Section 482(2) of B.N.S.S.”

3. Learned State counsel (on instructions) has submitted that pursuant to the order dated 26.05.2025, the petitioner has indeed joined investigation, and his custodial interrogation is not required.
4. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and upon perusal of the record and in view of the stance of the State, the interim order dated 26.05.2025 is made absolute, subject to the conditions as enumerated under Section 482(2) of BNSS.
5. Petition stands allowed, accordingly.
6. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.
7. Liberty is reserved in favour of State/complainant to move for cancellation/recall of this order in case the petitioner violates any condition stipulated under Section 482(2) of BNSS or upon showing any other sufficient cause.
8. Needless to say that anything observed herein above shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.
9. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

August 25, 2025

mahavir

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No