

CRM-M-29202-2025 (O&M) -1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

318-1

**CRM-M-29202-2025 (O&M)
Decided on: 20.11.2025**

DALER SINGH AND OTHERS

.....Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA

Present: Mr. Azam Khan, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Subhash Godara, Addl. A.G. Punjab.


Mr. Ritesh Pandey, Advocate for respondents No.2 to 6.

SUBHAS MEHLA, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in this petition is for quashing the cross case GD No.002, dated 04.06.2024, registered under Sections 326, 325, 324, 323, 148 and 149 of IPC (Annexure P-2) in FIR No.58, dated 02.06.2024, registered under Sections 307, 341, 324, 323, 148 and 149 of IPC (Section 326 IPC was added later on) at Police Station Sadar Batala, District Gurdaspur (Annexure P-1) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise dated 01.02.2025 (Annexure P-3) arrived at between the parties.

2. The above stated cross case was registered on the statement of the complainant/respondent No.2-Paramjeet Kaur.

3. On notice of motion, respondents No.2 to 6 appeared in the



Court through their counsel and pleaded that they have no objection if the FIR in this case is quashed on the basis of the aforesaid compromise, which has been effected between the parties.


4. During the course of preliminary hearing, the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate was directed to record the statements of the all the concerned parties with regard to genuineness and validity or otherwise of the aforesaid compromise.

5. In compliance thereof, report from the Court of learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class-cum-Civil Judge(Junior Division), Batala, along with statements of the parties has been received, in which, it is mentioned that the compromise is genuine and there was no undue influence or coercion from any side.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner(s) and for respondents No.2 to 6 are *ad idem* that in view of the settlement effected between the parties, the present petition deserves to be accepted. It has also come on record that the aforesaid compromise is genuine and the parties effected the same without any undue influence or coercion.

7. In view of above, nothing remains to be adjudicated further in the present case. Thus, continuation of the criminal proceedings between the parties would be a futile exercise and sheer wastage of time of the Court and thus, amounts to abuse of process of law.

8. For the reasons afore-stated and having regard to the law laid down by Hon'ble Apex Court in ***Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another, 2012 (4) RCR (Criminal) 543*** and Five Judges Bench of this



CRM-M-29202-2025 (O&M) -3-

Court in *Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052*, this petition is allowed and cross case GD No.002, dated 04.06.2024, registered under Sections 326, 325, 324, 323, 148 and 149 of IPC (Annexure P-2) in FIR No.58, dated 02.06.2024, registered under Sections 307, 341, 324, 323, 148 and 149 of IPC (Section 326 IPC was added later on) at Police Station Sadar Batala, District Gurdaspur (Annexure P-1) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed qua the petitioners, subject to payment of Rs.30,000/- as cost, to be deposited with Punjab State Legal Services Authority-Disaster Relief Fund, Account No.44426937384, IFSC Code- SBIN0014656, State Bank of India, Sector-68, SAS Nagar (Punjab).

9. Receipt regarding deposit of aforesaid cost be produced before the Court concerned. It is made clear that if cost is not deposited within a period of one month i.e. upto 20.12.2025, present petition shall be deemed to be dismissed.

(SUBHAS MEHLA)
JUDGE

20.11.2025
Sonia Puri

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: YES/NO
Whether Reportable: YES/NO