



109

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

ARB-340-2025**Date of Decision: 23.05.2025**

**M/S GARG AND COMPANY THROUGH ITS PROPRIETOR
SHASHANK GARG** Applicant

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : Mr. R.S. Kalra, Advocate
for the applicant.

Ms. Rajni Gupta, Addl. AG, Haryana.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. Through instant application under Section 29A of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 (for short '1996 Act'), the applicant is seeking extension of mandate of Arbitrator.

2. The applicant preferred an application under Section 11(6) of 1996 Act seeking appointment of an Arbitrator. By order dated 19.04.2022 of this Court, a Sole Arbitrator was appointed to adjudicate the dispute between the parties. Both the parties appeared before the Arbitrator and filed their claims/counter claims. The mandate of Arbitrator in terms of Section 29A of 1996 Act will expire on 11.06.2025.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that Arbitrator was appointed by this Court, thus, this Court can extend mandate of Arbitrator. Both the parties have filed claims/counter claims. The matter has already been heard by the Arbitrator.



4. Learned State counsel does not dispute the afore-stated factual and legal position and prayer of the applicant.

5. In the wake of statement of both sides, the present application is allowed and the mandate of Arbitrator is extended for a period of 6 months from 11.06.2025.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

23.05.2025
Ali

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No