



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

109

LPA-1742-2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 20.08.2025

DIDAR SINGH

...Appellant

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI

Present:- Mr. Rajesh Bansal, Advocate, for the appellant.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (ORAL)CM-4280-LPA-2025

For the reasons mentioned in the application under Section 5 of the Limitation Act for condonation of delay of 96 days in filing the appeal, the same is allowed and delay of 96 days in filing the appeal is condoned.

LPA-1742-2025 (O&M)

1. After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the appellant submits that there is another complaint which has been filed against the respondent(s), which is being looked into, hence, the present appeal may kindly be disposed of having not been pressed any further with liberty to the appellant to pursue the subsequent complaint, which is pending before the Chief Judicial Magistrate, Kurukshetra.

2. Ordered accordingly.

3. Pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

August 20, 2025

harish

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes

Whether reportable No