



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-14980-2025

Date of decision: 15.07.2025

Mukesh Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Sandeep Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Bhupender Singh, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (Oral)

1. Through the instant writ petition, cast under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, a prayer is made for issuance of a Mandamus, upon the learned trial Court, Mahendergarh, to hear and decide the application, bearing CM No.92 of 2020, as preferred by the petitioner under Order 39 Rule 2(a) of the Code of Civil Procedure, most expeditiously.

2. This Court, vide order dated 22.05.2025, had passed the hereinafter extracted order:-

“Through the instant writ petition, cast under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, a prayer is made for issuance of a mandamus, upon the learned Civil Court, Mahendergarh, to hear and decide the application, as preferred by the petitioner, under Order 39 Rule 2(A), CPC, most expeditiously.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the above said application has been filed, before the learned Civil Court concerned, on dated 18.09.2020, and the same has not been decided, till date. Furthermore, the case is at the stage of notice, even after the lapse of five years.



Before this Court pass the asked for mandamus, let the learned Civil Court, Mahendergarh, who is seized of the matter, inform this Court, as to how much time is required, to adjudicate the application (supra).

The Registry of this Court, is directed to forthwith communicate this order, to the learned Civil Court, Mahendergarh, for its compliance.

List this matter on 15.07.2025.”

3. In compliance to the order (supra), the learned Civil Judge (Junior Division), Mahendergarh, through a proper channel, has submitted a report, thereby, explaining the reasons, due to which, delay has occurred in adjudicating the application (supra). Further, a request is also made to grant three months’ time for final adjudication of the application (supra).
4. After going through the report (supra), and the reasons assigned for the delay, this Court deems it apt to **dispose of**, the instant writ petition with a Mandamus upon the learned trial Court to decide the application (supra), within four months from the passing this order. It is expected from the learned trial Court that the application (supra), shall be decided within the stipulated time.
5. In view of the aforesaid, the instant writ petition is, accordingly, **disposed of**.
6. The Registry of this Court is directed to communicate this order to the learned Civil Court, Mahendergarh, for its compliance.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

15.07.2025
Ak Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No