

2025:PHHC:173068



**120 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**RFA-2327-2008 (O&M)
Decided on:-10.12.2025**

State of Haryana thr. Collector, Jind

....Appellant..

vs.

Zile Singh

....Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Mr. Abhinash Jain, DAG, Haryana.

None for the landowner.

HARKESH MANUJA J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the appellant-State submits that the matter in issue is squarely covered by the decision rendered by this Court in a bunch of appeals with lead case bearing RFA-2901-2007 (O&M), titled as ***“State of Haryana through Collector Jind vs. Smt. Bedo and others”***, decided on 15.10.2025, wherein the land forming part of the revenue estate of Village Kalwa, Tehsil and District Jind was acquired vide notification dated 22.09.2003, issued under Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, and for the same purpose i.e. for construction of Kalwa Minor; and the appeals preferred at the instance of landowners were partly allowed whereas the appeals preferred by the State of Haryana were dismissed. Relevant paras of ***Smt. Bedo’s case*** (supra) is reproduced hereunder:-

“9. Accordingly, the market value for the land under present

acquisition is assessed @ Rs.3,97,315/- per acre. In addition, the landowner are also held entitled for award of all statutory benefits and interest as provided under the provisions of the 1894 Act (amended upto to date). The landowner shall also be entitled for solatium @ 30% besides award of interest thereupon as well. Considering the fact that the acquisition has been done for the public purpose of a Minor, thereby resulting into bifurcation of the land, the appellants-landowners are also held entitled for damage towards severance of land @ 20% of the enhanced compensation as awarded by this Court in case of previous acquisition for the similar purpose, vide decision dated 03.12.2015 passed in RFA No.2918 of 2006 in case titled as "Prem and others vs. State of Haryana".

10. Consequently, in view of the discussions made herein above, the appeals preferred at the instance of appellants-landowners are hereby partly allowed in the aforesaid terms and the appeals filed by the State of Haryana are thus, dismissed."

2. No one has put in appearance on behalf of the respondent(s).
3. In view of the above, the present appeal is also disposed of in terms of the aforesaid decision.
4. Pending application, if any, stands disposed of.

10.12.2025

sonika

(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/ No