

2025.PHHC:002724-DB



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-PIL-143-2024 (O&M)
Date of decision: 10.01.2025**

Rajesh Garg

.....Petitioner

Versus

Union Territory, Chandigarh Administration and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHEEL NAGU, CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH**

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Sumit Jain, Addl. Standing Counsel,
with Mr. Himanshu Arora, Panel Counsel,
for respondent-U.T., Chandigarh.

Mr. Gaurav Chopra, Senior Advocate,
With Ms. Gauri C. Kaushal, Advocate,
for respondent No.3-High Court.

SHEEL NAGU, C.J. (ORAL)

Present petition has been filed as a Public Interest
Litigation, praying for the following relief:-

- (i) Issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to prevent free entry/passage of lawyers/public through electronic security gates, which are installed at the entry point of this Court, but are not being put to use ever since their installation.
- (ii) Further prayer has been made for issuance of direction to the respondents to operate the security gates installed, only on scanning of

authorized passes/identity cards issued to entrants.

2. It is informed by learned counsel for the U.T. Administration that all the turnstile gates and drop box gates are functional and none of the grievances raised in the present petition remains unredressed.

3. Learned senior counsel for the High Court fairly points out that the grievance regarding non issuance of identity cards/passes for the litigants has also been redressed. However, there is no such verification or identification of the Lawyers to distinguish a genuine Lawyer from an imposter in lawyers' uniform.

4. The High Court, after taking the Bar into confidence, is directed to adopt appropriate procedure by placing the matter before the High Court Security Committee for laying down process for proper identification of Lawyers entering the gates of the High Court, after due verification, without causing any undue embarrassment to them.

5. The writ petition stands disposed of.

**(SHEEL NAGU)
CHIEF JUSTICE**

**(SUDHIR SINGH)
JUDGE**

10.01.2025

Ajay Prasher

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No