



CRM-M-29218-2025 1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

203/2

CRM-M-29218-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 27.08.2025

Uday PalPetitioner

Versus

State of PunjabRespondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Ms. Anmol Thakur, Advocate for
Mr. Nikhil Kumar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Bareen Pratap Singh, AAG, Punjab.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J.(Oral)

1. Prayer in this petition, filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438 Cr.P.C.), is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed hereunder:-

Name of Petitioner (s)	FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station	District
Uday Pal	50	24.03.2025	115(2), 126(2), 118(1), 118(2), 191(3) and 190 of BNS	Kartarpur	Jalandhar

2. Short reply by way of affidavit dated 27.08.2025 of Mr. Vijay Kanwar Paul, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police (PBI & Narcotic) cum Sub-division Kartarpur, Jalandhar (Rural) on behalf of respondent has been filed and the same is taken on record. Copy supplied to the other side.

Registry to tag the same at appropriate place.

3. On 26.05.2025, following order was passed:-

“The instant petitions have been filed under



Section 482 of BNSS, 2023 for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.50 dated 24.03.2025 under Sections 115(2), 126(2), 118(1), 118(2), 191(3), 190 of the BNS, 2023, registered at Police Station Kartarpur, District Jalandhar.

Learned counsel for the petitioners inter alia contend that the petitioners have no previous criminal antecedents; although they are named in the FIR in question, however, they have been attributed only simple injury on the left arm and shoulder of the injured-complainant.

On a pointed query as to which of the accused has been attributed grievous injury, learned counsel for the petitioners submit that it has been attributed to co-accused Daman Lubana.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. H.S. Deol, Sr. DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and seeks time to file reply.

Meanwhile, the petitioners are directed to join the investigation and appear before the investigating agency, as and when called upon to do so. In the event of their arrest, they shall be admitted to interim bail on their furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioners shall abide by the terms and conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C./482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

Adjourned to 27.08.2025.

Photocopy of this order be placed on the file of the connected case.”

4. Continuing his submissions, learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in compliance of the order dated 26.05.2025, passed by this Court, petitioner has joined the investigation, and has fully co-operated. Therefore, he prays for confirmation of the said interim anticipatory bail order.

5. Learned State counsel on instructions from quarter concerned, confirms the said averment made by counsel for the petitioner of joining the investigation on 30.05.2025 by the petitioner, and submits that as of now, custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required for the purpose of investigation.

6. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

7. Since, petitioner has already joined the investigation and custodial interrogation is no more required; ad-interim bail order dated

**CRM-M-29218-2025****3**

26.05.2025, passed by this Court is hereby made absolute. Accordingly, present petition is allowed.

However, petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when required to do so and abide by all the terms and conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

8. Accordingly, petition stands disposed of.

27.08.2025

Rajeev (rvs)

(SANJAY VASHISTH)**JUDGE**Whether Speaking/Reasoned: **YES/NO**Whether Reportable: **YES/NO**