



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

252 (two cases)

CRM-M-28671-2025

Date of Decision: 28.05.2025

(1) Lal Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CRM-M-28696-2025

(2) Amar Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N.S.SHEKHAWAT

Present : Mr. Sohan Singh Rana, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. M.S. Bajwa, DAG, Punjab.

N.S.SHEKHAWAT, J. (Oral)

1. This order shall dispose off two bail petitions, i.e., CRM-M-28671-2025 titled as “ **Lal Singh Vs. State of Punjab**” and CRM-M-28696-2025 titled as “**Amar Singh Vs. State of Punjab**”, whereby, the petitioner(s) have applied for grant of regular bail under Section 483 of the BNSS in case FIR No.0008 dated 02.02.2025 under Sections 333, 127(2), 115(2), 117(2), 191(3), 190 of BNS, 2023 (Annexure P-1) registered at Police Station Ram Dass, District Amritsar Rural.



2. The FIR in the present case was registered on the basis of the statement made by Jatinder Pal Singh and the same has been reproduced below:-

“Statement of Jatinder Pal Singh son of Balkar Singh, resident of Village Dial Bhadang, Police Station Ramdas, aged about 24 year, Mobile No.9855657807. Stated that I am residing at above noted address and is labourer by profession. On 17.12.2025, when I & my mother Smt. Kuldip Kaur were present at our home, the out gate of house was lying open. It may be around 6.30 P.M., when bult fitted outside was glowing. I saw in street that Maluk Singh son of Lal Singh, armed with datar, Lal Singh son of Sham Singh, armed with datar, Amar Singh @Uma son of Gian Singh, armed with datar, Aman daughter of Amar Singh, armed with sword, Karanbir Singh son of Bau, armed with base ball, Jatinder Singh @ Gauri son of Kishan Singh, armed with datar & Jashan @ Kalu son of Meeda, armed with datar, residents of village Dial Bhadang, were present outside our house. I tried to bolt my gate, on which, said Aman raised lalkara by saying that he may be caught. On this, all the assailants pushed away our gate and entered inside our house. Then Maluk Singh gave blow of his datar on me, which hit on right side in my head. Then said Lal Singh gave blow of his datar on me, which hit on left side in my head. Then Amar Singh gave blow of his datar on me, which hit on my forehead & head. Then Aman gave blow of her sword on me, which hit on my right hand finger. Then Jatinder Singh gave reverse gave blow of his datar on me, which hit on my left wrist. Then Jashan @ Kalu gave blow of



his datar on me, which hit on my forehead. Then Karanbir Singh gave blow of his base ball on me, which hit on my hip. Then the said assailants brought me to Haveli of Arnar Singh. I & my mother Smt. Kuldip Kaur raised alarm of 'maar ditta.... Maar ditta', on which, our neighbors gathered at the spot. On this, all the assailants with their respective weapons fled away from the spot. Then my family members arranged conveyance and got me admitted in Civil Hospital, Ramdas, where the Doctor issued my MLR and further referred me to Guru Nanak Dev Hospital, Amritsar, where the Doctors provided me treatment. My mother Smt. Kuldip Kaur has witnessed entire occurrence. After treatment, the Doctor discharged me on 22.1.2025, on which, I came to the house of my maasi Smt. Sarabjit Kaur, resident of village Bolian. Efforts were on by respectables of village for arriving at a compromise in this matter, however it could not materialize. Reason of the grudge is that earlier also, hot exchanged had taken place between me & said accused, due to which reason, they have inflicted injuries on my person. Today I alongwith my relatives was coming to you for getting recorded my statement, when you are met on way. Statement got recorded. Hence due legal action may be taken against said accused. Sd/- Jatinder 1/Pal Singh .”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner(s) submits that as per the FIR, Lal Singh (petitioner in CRM-M-28671-2025) was carrying a *datar* and had given a blow on the left side of the head of the complainant, whereas Amar Singh (petitioner in CRM-M-28696-2025) was also carrying a *datar* and he gave blows on the head and



forehead of the complainant. Learned counsel next contends that the complainant has already been discharged from the hospital and is hale and hearty. Both the petitioner(s) were arrested in the present case on 10.02.2025 and challan has already been presented against them. However, the trial Court has not been able to frame the charge against them so far and there are no chances of early conclusion of the trial in the present case.

4. On the other hand, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner(s) on the ground that serious allegations have been levelled against the present petitioner(s) and they do not deserve the concession of bail by this Court.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

6. The petitioner(s) are stated to be in custody for the last more than 03 months and challan has been presented against them. Even the charge has not been framed against both the petitioner(s) and the trial Court may take considerable time to conclude the trial. Moreover, there is no material to indicate that the petitioner(s) are in a position to influence the witnesses of the prosecution. Thus, the further custody of the petitioner(s) will not serve any useful purpose.

7. Without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petitions are allowed and the petitioner(s) are ordered to be



released on bail subject to their furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate, concerned.

28.05.2025

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)

vipin

JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No