

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

2025-PHHC-174131



(210)

CRM-M-28698-2025

DATE OF DECISION: 12.12.2025

Hamid Mohd. Mansoori

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

**CORAM HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA**

Present Ms. Priyanka Deo, Advocate, for the petitioner.  
Mr. Ramender Singh Chauhan, AAG, Haryana.

\*\*\*

**SUBHAS MEHLA, J (ORAL)**

1. By way of the present petition, the petitioner is seeking regular bail in case FIR No.69 dated 29.12.2023, under Sections 420 and 120-B IPC and 66-D of IT Act, registered at Police Station Cyber Crime, NIT Faridabad, Haryana.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners contended that he has no involvement whatsoever in the alleged fraud; he neither met the complainant at any point nor received any funds from him; he is not named in the FIR and has no direct or indirect connection with the alleged offence; case is based on circumstantial evidence and therefore, prayed for grant of regular bail to the petitioner.

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel filed custody certificate of the petitioner in Court today which is taken on record; opposed the bail application, on instructions from SI Deepak, by submitting that petitioner has been remanded only for fourteen days; he is in custody for the last three months and seventeen days; charges have already framed against the present petitioner on 07.11.2024 and for the last around one year, nine

prosecution witnesses have been examined.

- 4.                      Heard.
  
- 5.                      Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the learned trial Court to conclude the trial within two months from next date of hearing and if trial Court fails to conclude the same within the stipulated period, petitioner would be at liberty to move an application for bail and trial Court is directed to release him on bail on furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to its satisfaction. It is made clear that if delay in concluding trial is on the part of petitioner/accused, then he is not entitled to aforesaid release.
  
- 6.                      Disposed of in the above terms.

12.12.2025

mamta

(SUBHAS MEHLA)

JUDGE

|                           |        |
|---------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable        | Yes/No |