



104

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-5363-2025

Date of decision: 23.05.2025

LALITA DEVI

...Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF U.T. CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present:- Mr. Mohit Kakkar, Advocate for the petitioner.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (Oral)

1. The present Criminal Writ Petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of a writ in the nature of *mandamus* directing respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner at the hands of respondent No.4 and from the persons who are acting on their behalf.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that a representation/complaint dated 18.05.2025 (Annexure P-1) was filed by the petitioner before respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Chandigarh, whereby allegations were made pertaining to attempt to commit rape but no action has been taken by the police on the aforesaid complaint/representation till date.

3. At this stage, Ms. Vasundhara Dalal Anand, APP, U.T., Chandigarh has appeared on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3 and submitted that she has



received an advance copy of the petition and has also sought instructions in the present case. She submitted that respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Chandigarh has recently received the aforesaid representation/complaint and the same will be processed in accordance with law and final decision will be taken on the aforesaid representation/complaint filed by the petitioner as early as possible, within a period of one week from today.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that in view of the aforesaid statement made by the learned counsel of U.T., Chandigarh, he does not wish to press the present petition and prays for withdrawal of the same.

5. Ordered accordingly.

23.05.2025
Chetan Thakur

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No