IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT CHANDIGARH

202

2025:PHHC:019847

CWP-12077-2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 10.02.2025.

HARI RAM ... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS ... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ.

PRESENT: Ms. Jai Shree Kaushik, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Praveen Chander Goyal, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J (ORAL)

The instant petition has been filed by the petitioner against the termination of his services vide Memo N.4081 dated 27.02.2018 (Annexure P-7).

2 It is undisputed that the petitioner was engaged as a daily wager and the dispute would thus be amenable to adjudication by a Labour Court under the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

3 The present petition is accordingly disposed of in view of the efficacious alternative remedy available to the petitioner and granting him liberty to approach the competent Forum under the Industrial Disputes Act.

4 The period during which the present petition has remained pending before this Court shall be taken into consideration for all consequential benefits under Section 2-A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 by the Labour Court at the time when the adjudication is done upon the reference filed by the petitioner.

February 10, 2025. **(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)**
raj arora **JUDGE**

RAJ KUMAR ARORA
2025.02.11 17:18
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No