



CWP-15231-2025

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

117

CWP-15231-2025

Date of Decision: 07.07.2025

Gurbachann Singh**...Petitioner(s)****Versus****State of Haryana and others****...Respondent(s)****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA**

Present:- Mr. P.L. Verma, Advocate for the petitioner

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (Oral)

The petition has been filed seeking a writ of *certiorari* setting aside the punishment order dated 15.03.2019, Annexure P-2, whereby punishment of stoppage of two increments with cumulative effect has been imposed upon the petitioner.

2. As apparent on record, two of the charges have been proved against the petitioner vide the disciplinary enquiry report dated 30.05.2018; these are charge 3. *That you had not prepare cashbook for maintaining use of grants money*, and charge 4. *That you without getting the quotation from market regarding building material paid Rs.500 in cash*. On that basis, the impugned order of punishment has been passed by the competent authority. The appeal against the same has been partially accepted vide order dated 19.09.2023, whereby the punishment imposed by order dated 15.03.2019, has been reduced to stoppage of one increment with cumulative effect.



3. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that there is no justification to impose the punishment since the petitioner has not been accused of misappropriating the funds. Also, the work assigned was completed within time. Therefore, the order of punishment is unsustainable.

4. Heard.

5. Evidently, the petitioner was found to have committed the misconduct concerning charge number three and four as he failed to prepare the cashbook for maintaining use of grants of money, and made payments for building material without getting quotations from the market. The report of Inquiry Officer dated 30.05.2018, has not been challenged by the petitioner, nor has he challenged the order in appeal dated 19.09.2023, whereby punishment of stoppage of one increment with cumulative effect has been imposed upon him. In these circumstances, challenge only to the punishment order dated 15.03.2019, which has been modified by the order in appeal, cannot be entertained.

6. The petition accordingly stands dismissed.

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE

07.07.2025
Payal

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No