



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CRM-M-28750-2025

Date of Decision:-04.08.2024

Sullu @ Suleman & Ors.

.....Petitioners.

Vs.

State of U.T., Chandigarh & Anr.

.....Respondents.

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present:- Mr. Lalit Singla, Advocate &
Mr. Shivam Garg, Advocate for the Petitioners.

Mr. Rahul Arora, Addl. Public Prosecutor, U.T., Chandigarh.

Ms. Varsha Sharma, Advocate for respondent no.2 & 3.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J.(ORAL)

The prayer in this petition under Section 528 of the BNSS, 2023 is for quashing of FIR No.0034 dated 29.04.2025 under Sections 3(5), 115(2), 118(1) of BNS, 2023 registered at P.S. Mani Majra, District Chandigarh and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 07.05.2025 (Annexure P-2) arrived at between the parties.

On the oral request of learned Counsel for the petitioners, the offence under Section 118(2) of B.N.S. 2023 is ordered to be added in the headnote as well as prayer clause of the petition. The Registry is directed to make the necessary corrections regarding the same. The same be also read in this order as well.

Vide orders dated 23.05.2025 this Court had directed the parties to appear before Illaqa Magistrate for getting their statements recorded in terms of certain parameters given in the aforesaid orders dated 23.05.2025



with regard to the compromise dated 07.05.2025 (Annexure P-2).

In terms of the orders dated 23.05.2025 passed by this Court parties have appeared before the court of Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Chandigarh and as per report dated 14.07.2025 submitted to this Court, both the parties have got recorded their respective statements in Court.

Perusal of the aforesaid report would show that the parties have effected a genuine compromise without there being any pressure, coercion or undue influence. In view of the compromise there is a remote possibility of the complainant coming forward to support the prosecution case. The powers under Section 482 Cr.PC can be exercised in such like situation in order to prevent unnecessary vagaries of criminal trial to be faced by the parties, when there are remote chances of conviction of the accused. The compromise in question is found to be fully in consonance with the direction issued by the Court in *Kulwinder Singh & Ors. Vs. State of Punjab 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052* and *Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Anr., 2012(4) RCR (Crl.) 543*.

In view of the aforesaid reports of the learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Mansa accompanied by statements of both the parties, the FIR No.0034 dated 29.04.2025 under Sections 3(5), 115(2), 118(1) of BNS, 2023 registered at P.S. Mani Majra, District Chandigarh and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed.

Petition stands disposed of.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

August 04, 2025
Vinay

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No