



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-14737-2024

Date of Decision: 09.01.2025

JAGAT SINGH AND OTHERS

..... Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : Mr. Naresh Kumar Ganga, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Rajni Gupta, Addl. AG, Haryana.

Ms. Nikita Goel, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioners through instant petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India are seeking direction to respondents to permit them to continue in service and restrain respondents from replacing them.

2. The petitioners submit that they are contractual employees and respondent No.2 DHBVN is likely to replace them with another set of employees. They may not be replaced by another set of employees because it is contrary to law laid down by Courts.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Ms. Rajni Gupta, Addl. AG, Haryana and Ms. Nikita Goel, Advocate accept notice on behalf of respondent No.1-State and respondent No.2 respectively and waive service.

5. With consent of learned counsel for the parties, the case is



taken up for final disposal.

6. Ms. Nikita Goel counsel for respondent No.2 submits that most of the petitioners have already attained the age of superannuation, thus, there is no question to continue them in service. The petitioners who have not attained the age of superannuation would be permitted to continue till regular appointment is made.

7. In the wake of statement of learned counsel for respondent No.2, the present petition stands disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

09.01.2025
Ali

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No