



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

227

CWP-13959-2023 (O&M)

Date of decision: 14.05.2025

Manjit Kaur

....Petitioner

Versus

Guru Nanak Dev University, Amritsar and Another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Puneet Jindal, Sr. Advocate with
Ms. Malvi Aggarwal, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. M.K. Dogra, Advocate for the respondents

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. Learned Senior counsel submits that the petitioner has been in continuous employment from the year, 1997 as Helper and was regularised in 2012, however, without assigning any reason, the said order was withdrawn immediately thereafter and now, he prays for reconsideration, in terms of the judgment of Hon'ble the Supreme Court in **Jaggo vs. Union of India and Others**, 2025 AIR SC 296.

2. The aforesaid facts having been brought out, during the course of hearing, learned State counsel, on instructions, states that the respondents would not be averse to having a relook at the matter and decide afresh, taking note of the aforesaid judgment, uninfluenced by impugned letter dated 11.05.2012, Annexure P-9, within a period of 4 months, which this Court has no reason to believe the authorities would not address in a just, fair and reasonable manner.

3. The aforesaid satisfies the learned counsel for the petitioner.

4. The matter stands disposed of accordingly and if the petitioner is found entitled, grant the benefit forthwith. Needless to say, if the orders are

adverse to her interest, the same may be passed after granting opportunity of hearing to her and shall contain reasons, whereupon she shall be free to seek legal redress thereupon.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

14.05.2025
M.Kamra

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No