



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

109 (8)

CWP-11498-2018 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 28.02.2025

M/s Tajinder Paul Singh and sons.

...Petitioner

Versus

Union Territory Chandigarh and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI**

Present:- Mr. Arvind Kashyap, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sanjiv Ghai, Advocate for U.T. Chandigarh.

Ms. Prerna Malhotra, Advocate for
Mr. Prateek Mahajan, Advocate for respondent No.2.

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks and is permitted to withdraw the instant writ petition, but with liberty to avail the alternative remedy, for therebys, it making a challenge to the impugned order.

2. In case the revision petition is time barred, thereupon, on an application seeking condonation of delay, as cast under the Limitation Act, being filed along with revision petition, initially thereupons, a reasoned speaking order shall be made but with a week from the date of its preferment, whereafter the revision petition shall be registered. Subsequently, the revision petition is directed to be decided through a reasoned speaking order being made thereons. However, all the supra be ensured to be done, within 45 days from today, but after hearing all the



affected/aggrieved persons/parties concerned.

3. All pending application(s), if any, also stand(s) disposed of.

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

February 28, 2025
harish

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No