



132

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-28045-2025
DECIDED ON: 21.05.2025**

PARAMJEET SINGH**.....PETITIONER**

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA**.....RESPONDENT****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL**

Present: Mr. Kuldeep Singh Siwach, Advocate
for the petitioner.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

The jurisdiction of this Court has been invoked for quashing of Impugned order dated 18.07.2024 passed by Court below (Annexure P-5) vide which the bail order of the petitioner was cancelled and bail bonds and surety bonds were forfeited to the State in case FIR No. 401 dated 04.06.2021 under Sections 22(b) of NDPS Act but during investigation offence under Section 22(b) deleted and Section 21(b), 27-A of NDPS has been added, registered at Police Station Sadar Hisar, District Hisar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was regularly appearing before the trial Court but on 18.07.2024, he could not appear before the trial Court, as he had noted wrong date. He therefore, submits that the absence of the petitioner before the trial Court is neither intentional nor deliberate.

Learned counsel for the petitioner though at the outset without contesting the order dated 18.07.2024 (Annexure P-5) on merits undertakes to join the trial proceedings within ten days.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Chetan Sharma, DAG Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondent/State and not averse to the undertaking given on behalf of the petitioner.

Without addressing the merits of the case or the legality of the order, as the same has not been contested by the learned counsel for the petitioner, who has voluntarily agreed to join the proceedings before the trial court and to pay a penalty of Rs. 20,000/- which shall be deposited with Chandi Kusht Asshram Society, (account No.1445265900) (IFSC Code KKBK0004211), Kotak Mahindra Bank, Sector 46-C Chandigarh for causing unwarranted delay in the trial proceedings, the order dated 18.07.2024 (Annexure P-5) is hereby set aside.

However, the aforesaid order would be subject to fulfillment of undertaking given before this Court on behalf of the petitioner that he will surrender before the trial Court within ten days. If any application seeking bail is moved by the petitioner, the same be considered by the Court below preferably on that very day in accordance with law.

The amount so deposited by the petitioner shall not be construed as cost for this order but penalty for stalling the court proceedings by evading himself from trial for a long time.

The petition stands disposed off in the aforesaid terms.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

21.05.2025

Meenu

Whether speaking/reasoned *Yes/No*
Whether reportable *Yes/No*