



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

125

**CRM-M No.28952 of 2025
Date of decision: 26.05.2025**

DEVO @ DEVKI

.... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA

Present : Mr. Kartar Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Apoorv Garg, Sr. D.A.G., Haryana.

MANISHA BATRA, J. (oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), 2023, seeking issuance of a writ of mandamus directing the official respondents No.2 to 4 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondents No. 5 to 7 and to take action on their representation/complaint dated 15.05.2025 (Annexure P-2).

2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has recently filed a representation/complaint on 15.05.2025 before the Superintendent of Police, Fatehabad (Annexure P-2) raising her grievances as mentioned in the present petition but the same has not yet been considered and no action has been taken thereon. He further submitted that at this stage, the petitioner would be satisfied if appropriate directions are issued to respondent No. 3-Superintendent of Police, Fatehabad to decide the aforesaid representation/complaint filed by the petitioner and take necessary action thereon.

**CRM-M No.28952 of 2025**

-2-

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Apoorv Garg, Sr. D.A.G., Haryana, who is present in Court, has accepted the notice on behalf of the respondents-State and submits that the needful will be done in due course. Let a complete set of the paperbook be supplied to him during the course of the day.

5. In view of the limited prayer made by the petitioner, without commenting anything on the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of at this stage with a direction to respondent No. 3 to decide the aforesaid representation/complaint dated 15.05.2025 (Annexure P-2) of the petitioner within a period of 15 days from today, by passing a speaking order in accordance with law. Needless to say, if any threat is found to the petitioner, the official respondents would provide her adequate security in order to protect her life and liberty.

26.05.2025

Jyoti-IV

**(MANISHA BATRA)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No.
Whether reportable :	Yes/No