

**CRM-M-28226-2025****-1-****IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH****217****CRM-M-28226-2025****Date of Decision: 27.05.2025****KARANVIR SINGH @ KARANI****... PETITIONER****VERSUS****STATE OF PUNJAB****... RESPONDENT****CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL**

Present:- Mr. Sayyam Garg, Advocate for
Mr. Atul Goyal, Advocate for the petitioner.

H.S. Grewal, J.(Oral)

1. This petition has been filed for grant of regular bail under Section 483 of BNSS in case FIR No. 14 dated 26.03.2025 under Sections 21, 27(A) of NDPS Act, 1985 registered at Police Station Payal, Ludhiana.
2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner along with co-accused was standing around a white colour activa/scooty and four grams of heroin was recovered from the diggi/dicky of the said activa along with drug money of Rs. 7000/- from the petitioner.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been been falsely implicated in the present case. He further submits that the petitioner was merely alleged to have been standing beside the offending vehicle, and the prosecution has failed to provide any evidence demonstrating that the petitioner was in conscious possession of 04 grams of heroin, which was recovered from the scooty that he does not own. Moreover, it is yet to be established that whether Rs. 7,000/- was actually a drug money. The petitioner is in custody since 26.03.2025.
4. Notice of motion.

**CRM-M-28226-2025****-2-**

5. Mr. Kamalpreet Bawa, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State. Learned State counsel has filed the custody certificate in the Court today, which is taken on record. As per custody certificate, the petitioner is in custody for the last 01 month and 27 days. He vehemently opposes the prayer for grant of regular bail to the petitioner. He fairly admits that the petitioner is in custody since 26.03.2025. He further submits that the petitioner is involved in another case and in that case, 10 grams of heroin was recovered and no direct evidence was provided against the petitioner.

6. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

7. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case and the fact that the petitioner is in custody for the last 01 month and 27 days, the continuous detention of the petitioner would not serve the ends of justice, this Court deems it a fit case to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner during the pendency of the trial.

8. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bail bonds, surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned.

9. It is clarified that if on bail so granted through the instant order, the petitioner is found indulging in any other criminal case it shall be open to the State to seek cancellation of his bail.

27.05.2025
renu

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No