



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

210

CRM-M-30582-2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision:- 31.01.2025

NEERAJ VERMA

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present : Mr. Nikhil Chopra Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Ankit Grewal, DAG Punjab.

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Section 438 CrPC,
petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR (Annexure P-1) as under:

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
50	04.06.2024	304, 304A, 337, 285 and 34 IPC	Daresi, District Ludhiana

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in compliance to the order dated 05.08.2024 passed by this Court, the petitioner has already joined the investigation and as such interim bail granted to the petitioner may be confirmed.
3. Learned State counsel, on instructions received from ASI Harpal Singh, has intimated that the petitioner has joined investigation and is no more required for any custodial investigation in this case nor he is



required for further investigation.

4. Heard.

5. During the course of hearing on 05.08.2024 the following order was passed:-

“Status report by way of affidavit of Jayanat Puri, IPS, Assistant Commissioner of Police (North) Ludhiana, on behalf of respondent-State has been filed in Court today, which is taken on record.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the factory was owned by his brother Rohit Verma and petitioner is neither partner nor Manager and not looking after the affairs of said factory. Moreover, complainant as well as Krishan Lal whose son had died had sworn the affidavit effecting compromise and Prince Saini who is brother of other deceased has also sworn affidavit effecting compromise.

Adjourned to 05.12.2024.

In the meantime, petitioner is directed to join investigation as and when called by SHO/Investigating Officer and in the event of his arrest, he shall be released on interim bail on his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of SHO/Investigating Agency, subject to the following conditions as envisaged under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.:-

(i) that the petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation before the investigating officer as and when required:

(ii) that the petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;

(iii) that the petitioner shall not leave the country without prior permission of the Court concerned.”

6. Keeping in view the above submissions made by learned State counsel and the fact that the petitioner had joined the investigation consequent to the order dated 05.08.2024 passed by this Court, interim bail granted vide order dated 05.08.2024 is hereby confirmed, subject to conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) BNSS. Further the petitioner



is directed to join investigation as and when required in future by way of written notice for such purpose to be served by Investigating Officer of this case upon the petitioner; he will not tamper with the evidence nor will influence the witnesses and will not leave the country without prior permission of the Court.

7. The petition stands allowed.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

31.01.2025
S.Sharma(syr)

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |