

2025:PHHC:003501



324.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-30627-2024

Date of decision: 13.01.2025

Aditya Gujrani and another

....Petitioners

Versus

State of U.T., Chandigarh and another

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Mukesh Pandit, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Manish Bansal, Public Prosecutor, U.T., Chandigarh

Mr. Ankit Aggarwal, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in the instant petition filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C., is for quashing of FIR No.0043, dated 16.05.2024, under Sections 420, 120-B of IPC, registered at Police Station North, U.T., Chandigarh (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising out of the same, on the basis of compromise dated 21.06.2024 (Annexure P-2) arrived at, between the parties.

2. Vide order dated 12.08.2024 of this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate on

12.09.2024 to get their statements recorded regarding the compromise arrived at, between them.

3. Report has since been received from learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Chandigarh, in pursuance of the directions of this Court, wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report, compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the complainant has also made statement to the effect that he would have no objection if the FIR *qua* the accused-petitioners is quashed.

4. The Trial Court has annexed the copies of statements of the parties, in original, alongwith its report.

5. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of U.T., Chandigarh too submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioners and respondent No.2 is the only aggrieved person in the FIR in question.

6. In view of the report of learned trial Court and the principles laid down by Hon'ble the Apex Court in ***Gian Singh Versus State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303***, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in ***Kulwinder Singh and others Versus State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052***, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed qua petitioners.

7. Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

January 13, 2025
sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No