


2025:PHHC:112114



256

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-5246-2025

Date of decision: August 25, 2025

Kulveer Kaur and another

....Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL**Present:** Ms. Ritu Manohar, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Gaurav Gurcharan Singh Rai, Senior DAG Punjab.

Mr. Mohit Shukla, Advocate for respondent No.4.

SUMEET GOEL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioners have approached this Court for issuance of necessary directions for protecting their life and liberties which according to them are at stake because they are in a live-in-relationship. The petitioners claim themselves to be major in terms of age. According to the copies of the Aadhar Cards (Annexure P-1 and P-2), the date of birth of the petitioner No.1-Kulveer Kaur is 27.07.2005 and the date of birth of the petitioner No.2-Harpreet Singh is 02.05.2005.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that a marriage is not a must for providing security to a couple in a 'live-in-relationship' because protection *qua* life and liberty is sacrosanct and stands at the highest pedestal. He has also placed reliance upon orders passed by the co-ordinate Bench of this Court in '*Sarabjeet Kaur and*

another versus State of Punjab and others’ in *CRWP-102-2022* decided on 07.01.2022; *‘Goutam Kumar and another versus State of Punjab and others’* in *CRWP-8088-2021* decided on 26.08.2021; *Bharti and Another Versus State of U.T., Chandigarh & others* and *‘Annu Rani & Anr. vs. State of Haryana’* in *CRWP-11060-2023* decided on 15.11.2023.

3. At this stage, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case as well as on the age and nature of the relationship between the petitioners; I deem it appropriate to direct the Senior Superintendent of Police, Mansa to look into the matter and pass an appropriate order on the representation stated to have been filed by the petitioners on 16.05.2025 (Annexure P-3) & pass appropriate orders thereupon within two weeks from today.

4. However, if the petitioners are found to have been involved in any other case, then this order shall not preclude the competent authority from taking lawful action against the petitioners.

5. The petition stands disposed off.

6. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

August 25, 2025
mahavir

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No