

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

2025:PHHC:069181



119

CRM-M-27961-2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 21.05.2025.

Raj Kumar Malik

...Petitioner.

Versus

State of U.T., Chandigarh and others

...Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUKHVINDER KAUR

.....

Present: Mr. Amandeep Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

SUKHVINDER KAUR, J. (Oral)

Prayer in the instant petition filed under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023 is for issuance of directions to respondents No.1 to 4 to take necessary legal action against respondents No.5 and 6 on the basis of representation dated 17.04.2025 (Annexure P3).

Learned counsel for the petitioner has contended that son of the petitioner, namely, Harsh Malik took admission in Balaji College of Law affiliated to Chaudhary Charan Singh University, Meerut for pursuing five years LLB course in November 2020 and admission was made through respondent No.5-Taranjit Kaur, who told him that total expenses of Rs.1,00,000/- would be incurred for five years course. The petitioner made initial payment of Rs.20,000/- to Taranjit Kaur for admission in the college and the said payment was made at Chandigarh. He continued his studies and in January 2025, in connection with some of his supplementary

examinations, the petitioner again called respondent No.5-Taranjit Kaur and she could not be contacted on phone. The petitioner came in contact with respondent No.6 Poonam Tomar Panwar, who claimed herself as Head of Balaji College of Law. For providing necessary help to son of the petitioner for clearing his supplementary examinations she demanded fee of Rs.25,000/- towards University/College fee + Rs.15,000/- for taking up the matter urgently, since the process for semester examination was going to start from 11.02.2025. In good faith, petitioner transferred the payment of Rs.40,000/- from his bank account to bank account of respondent No.6 and urged that it was told by respondent No.6-Poonam Tomar Panwar that the admit card would be issued on 08.02.2025 but no admit card was issued till 11.02.2025, i.e. the date of the starting of the semester examination, so the petitioner and his son requested respondent No.6 either to fulfil her promise or to return the payment as taken by her. The son of the petitioner went to college on 17.02.2025 and furnished required documents including cancelled cheque for receiving back the aforesaid amount. Thereafter, respondent No.6 delayed the payment on one pretext or the other. He further contended that the complainant is in possession of Whats App messages, which clearly show that though Poonam Tomar Panwar was accepting her liability to return the payment but despite the repeated requests, she did not return the payment of Rs.40,000/- to the petitioner.

During the course of arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that he would be satisfied if the representation dated 17.04.2025 (Annexure P-3), which had already been moved by the petitioner is looked into.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Ganesh Sharma, Addl. P.P., U.T., Chandigarh, accepts notice and submitted that he has no objection if the aforesaid representation dated 17.04.2025 (Annexure P-3) is looked into.

In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2, to look into the representation and to take appropriate action, if any required at the earliest.

Disposed of.

(SUKHVINDER KAUR)
JUDGE

21.05.2025.

Komal

Whether speaking/reasoned?	:	Yes/ No
Whether reportable?	:	Yes/ No